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Abstract

Colombia’s oil history began in 1918 and reached its golden era at the end of the 1980s. The important discoveries made during those years led Colombia to an expenditure spiral, paired with a huge fiscal deficit and a high public debt, drastically changing a seven decade long record of fiscal stability. The era of cheap and easy oil is coming to an end and, although exploration contracts and investment have increased, no important discoveries have been. Regulation in the oil industry changed several times since 1974, responding to the discoveries made. Regulation instability can make private investors unsure about the guarantee that new conditions would not be imposed above those agreed upon.
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1. The evolution of oil industry in Colombia

Oil history in Colombia is tightly linked to the shifts in the different factors that regulate this activity around the world. Variations in the oil price, set forth by both geopolitical factors and imbalances between supply and demand, determine the levels of investment and, hence, affect the amount of exploration activity. The era of cheap and easy oil is coming to an end. Since 2003 oil prices soared from $30 to $125 per barrel. Additionally, big discoveries are less frequent. High oil prices and increasing demand are a natural stimulus for the search for hydrocarbon resources. The existing perception regarding hydrocarbon potential in different countries, as well as political stability, are important considerations for investors deciding to participate in this risky business. The effect of these factors has also been crucial in Colombia.

The oil industry in Colombia has its origin in 1905 with the signature of two well known concessions called “Barco” and “De Mares”, and subsequently consolidated with the discovery and further development of the giant “La Cira – Infantas” field in 1918. These episodes mark the beginning of 90 years of history, marked by a continuous exploration. The analysis of this activity in Colombia allows identifying three main periods:

1918 – 1969: Period of market forces and demand, where technological innovation and capital resources played an important role as engines of exploratory activity. In Colombia the property of all underground wealth belongs to the State. The incipient oil wealth insinuated at this first stage, and favorable contractual conditions, attracted foreign investment and important international companies, such as Exxon, Shell, Texas, Chevron, Colpet, Phillips and Mobil, among others. During this period several fields of (over 200 millions barrels of oil each - MMBO) were found, for an accumulated total of 4,182 MMBO (see Figure 1). Towards the end of this period the state owned company, Ecopetrol was founded (1951), as a result of the reversion of La Cira - Infantas concession, operated by an Exxon affiliate.

1970 – 1994: this was a period marked by nationalism and deregulation, when a new Association Contracts was designed (1974); the state-take was increased under several consecutive contractual schemes, leading to an almost complete loss of competitiveness for the contract. This period was related to a number of important reserve discoveries (over 500 MMBO per field), and intensive exploratory activity. The discovery of giant fields such as Chuchupa (1973), Caño Limón (1983), Cusiana (1988) and Cupiagua (1993), placed Colombia in the spotlight for the most important oil multinationals, despite the unfavorable contractual terms. Thereby a significant increase in exploratory activity took place. During this period 5,169 MMBO of reserves were discovered, and fresh capital and technology became key contributions of foreign investors.
The hydrocarbons sector was restructured and the National Hydrocarbons Agency was created (2003). New contracting mechanisms were developed and the State-take reduced, creating favorable conditions to increase investment. Small companies and independent investors played a crucial role in exploratory activity in the country, particularly in the later years, as a result of high oil prices. Along these years 631 MMBO were discovered, mainly in small fields and particularly in the eastern plains basin (Los Llanos). The number and size of discoveries got smaller since 1993, with yearly discoveries lower than 100 and even to 50 MMBO, recently. The most important discovery in this period was the Guando field (2000), discovered by Petrobrás, with reserves close to 100 MMBO.

Capital for exploration investment and technology ceased to an exclusive asset of large companies. Service companies started to provide technology and participate in exploratory and development projects, also becoming operators. Reserves discovered in Colombia along these 90 years reach 9,982 MMBO, and remaining reserves amount to 1,359 MMBO at year end 2007. The country has been permanently concerned with increasing the resource base and maintaining output level, so as to keep the character of oil exporting country.

Oil reserves and production over the past 8 years are illustrated in Figure 2. Over the past four years the average annual production levels have been maintained, efficiently confronting their natural decline, through aggressive programs to optimize mature fields production, mainly by Ecopetrol and its partners. However, during this period reserve replacement has been...
insufficient for maintaining the levels present at the beginning of the decade. This, in conjunction with the deficit created over the previous years, generates a worrying imbalance. Discovered reserves in 2007 amounted to 44 MMBO, compared to an annual average of 320 MMBO in the eighties, and 109 MMBO in the nineties.

The most significant reserves addition along the past decade resulted from the revision performed in mature fields, which allowed to incorporate new volumes of reserves, particularly due to an increase in the recovery factor. In 2000, the level of reserves in Colombia was 1,972 MMBO, while in 2007 this figure was 1,359 MMBO, implying a 31% reduction. Similarly, average daily production has been reduced from 687 thousand in 2000 to 531 thousand barrels/day in 2007, having remained fairly constant over the past three years.

The Government’s efforts, in particular at the ANH, are reflected in a substantial increase in the exploratory activity in the country, particularly in terms of the number of drilled exploratory wells and new E&P contracts. Unfortunately the results of such activity have underperformed expectations in terms of oil discoveries, and represent an alert sign for the future of Colombia’s oil industry. Revising recent history, it can be seen that in 2007 the number of exploratory (A-3) wells drilled reached 72, while the amount of new discoveries were less than 50 MMBO.

Considering a longer planning horizon, and evaluating the results of exploratory efforts between 1918 and 2007, using as indicators the number of exploratory wells (A-3) drilled per year and the discovered reserves, the following observations can be made:
1) Discovered reserves in the early exploration phase (1918 -1969) do not exhibit a direct relationship with the number of drilled exploration wells. During this period found reserves reached 20 MMBO per exploratory well drilled (see Figure 3). Discovered reserves in these new exploratory areas were not the result of intensive exploratory drilling program. They were the combination of conscientious work and a more predictable geology.

2) In the intermediate exploratory period, once prospective exploratory trains were established, a clearer relationship is observed between these parameters. The level of reserves per exploratory well varied between 6 and 10 MMBO.

3) In the later, more advanced state of exploration, in particular between 2001 and 2007, the exploratory effort has been large while discovered reserves remaining low. In this case the reserves discover per exploratory well reached only 0.6 MMBO. The substantial reduction of this relationship may be indicative of low potential, basin’s maturity, as well as a lower economic success rate ($\text{Pe}$).

The adverse results observed along the past decade are an indication of the low remaining potential of the basins where exploration has been concentrated? It must be remembered that the giant fields discovered may be an indication of abundant hydrocarbons generation in some Colombian basins; hence, an important risk element becomes critical. Notice that the rich source rocks have a common history with the source rocks which generate Venezuela’s oil wealth.

**Figure 3. Drilling activity and oil discoveries, 1918 - 2007**

Source: Agencia Nacional de Hidrocarburos
2. Vicissitudes of oil contracts’ regulation

The evolution of contracts in Colombia

According to Reyes (2007), the first multinational company that entered the country was Tropical Oil Co. in 1917 to the De Mares Concession. Many significant discoveries were made in the Magdalena River region during the first part of the 20th century. Most of the contracts were concessions to private multinational companies, with reversal clauses that favored the Colombian Government; this made Colombian contracts different from those around the world at the time, because in most cases, contracts favored the private investors more than than the government. In the 1930s the exploration shifted towards the border with Venezuela, a region known as ‘Concesión Barco’, quite productive up to the 1960s.

During the 1960s an important discovery was made in the south of the country, near the border with Ecuador, shifting Colombia into an oil exporting country since 1969. However, in 1973 the situation changed and Colombia became once again a net importer. According to Barrios (2003), the oil crisis and the increasing international prices encouraged the government to strengthen the existing Association Contract, which had been created by Law 20 of 1969. The 2310 and 743 decrees of 1974 and 1975 respectively, established that, excluding the existing contracts, Ecopetrol was the only company allowed to explore and exploit oil wells in Colombia. Ecopetrol could carry out the activities on its own or through association contracts.

Figure 4 shows that before the big oil wells, Caño Limón, Cusiana and Copiagua were discovered in the late 1980s and early 1990s, Colombia’s oil production reached its peak in 1970 when 226,000 barrels were produced each day. In 1980, the production had fallen to 131,000 barrels per day. Barrios (2003) attributes the fall in production to the decrease in the exploration activity generated by the association contract of 1974, which restricted significantly multinational companies’ participation.

During the 1970s and 1980s exploration was based mainly at the eastern side of the country, in the Llanos Orientales. In 1982-83 Ecopetrol made important discoveries in the province of Meta; but the situation changed radically in 1984 when Occidental Petroleum found oil in Caño Limón (near the frontier with Venezuela, with 845 million barrels). Thanks to this discovery, Colombia’s proved reserves doubled. Cusiana (1.17 billion of oil equivalent barrels, OEB)2) was discovered in 1986, and Copiagua in 1993 (near Cusiana, with 880 million OEB). These have been the major oil discoveries made in Colombia. The development of these oil fields increased Colombian oil reserves to a record level of 3.2 billion barrels in 1998. In 2000, Guandó was discovered by Braspetro, adding a further 100 million barrels to the country’s reserves. Since then, oil reserves have declined to an estimated current level of 1,359 million barrels. Colombia reached its production peak in 1999 (838,000 barrels per day). In 2007, 531,000 barrels were

---

2 The Cusiana and Copiagua fields contain an important amount of gas that has to be converted to its equivalent in oil barrels.
produced each day. Exports have also declined from a peak of 515 kbd then, to nearly 176 kbd now. The surge in international prices has compensated these trends, stabilizing Ecopetrol’s and the Colombian government’s revenues. Oil is now nearly 21% of total exports and 4.3% of GDP.

**Figure 4. oil production in Colombia, 1965-2007 (thousand barrels per day)**

Source: British Petroleum

*The association contract, period 1974 – 2007*

The association contract in Colombia worked as a joint venture between Ecopetrol and the foreign company. The company assumed 100% of the exploration risk and costs and Ecopetrol shared past and future costs, once the field was declared commercial. Colombia’s fiscal terms in the oil sector have changed several times, changing the rules and participation rate of the association contract. The subsequent association contracts can be divided into four separate types, and are described in Figure 5 and Table 1. In 2004, there were some changes in the contract that will be discussed later on, however, the main characteristics of type D contracts remain, and therefore are not separated in Figure 5 and Table 1.


This contract was created in 1969, but came into practice in 1974. The foreign partner was in charge of the exploration stage and had to pay the totality of the investment and assumed all the risks. This first stage lasted 6 years and was followed by 22 years for exploitation. After a commercial discovery was been made, the foreign partner paid 20% in royalties from the hydrocarbons produced. From that moment on, both Ecopetrol and the multinational company had 50% participation over the field’s production and shared, in equal parts, the investments made. Ecopetrol didn’t participate in the production share until 50% (in current prices) of the exploration costs were covered.
If the partner made a discovery without Ecopetrol’s investment, once the 20% royalties were paid, the private company could take the totality of the production of that well until 200% of the perforation costs were covered. Afterwards, production was split in the usual way.

According to Barrios (2003), after the gigantic oil well was found in Cusiana, Ecopetrol realized that the existing association contract did not distribute the production according to the size of the field. This is why, in 1990, the government introduced the "Sliding scale association contract", in which the State owned company participation increased as production grew. This is known as the Type B contract.

**Type B contract: sliding scale distribution (1990-1994)**

Type B contracts worked similarly to type A contracts in terms of duration and exploration obligations. Once the 20% royalties were paid, production was distributed in the same way as before (50%-50%). However, when production surpassed 60 million barrels, the state’s company participation increased. Table 2 shows the participation rates according to the well’s production. This contract included new clauses which aimed at transferring technological knowledge to Ecopetrol and improving the environmental control. In 1994 a new contract was introduced. In this contract, Ecopetrol’s participation rate increased not as a function of production, but as a function of the profitability of the project.
Table 1. Evolution of the association contract in Colombia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Royalties</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Daily benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 5 kbpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-125 kbpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>125-400 kbpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400-600 kbpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 600 kbpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>28 years</td>
<td>28 years</td>
<td>28 years</td>
<td>30 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration obligation</td>
<td>Negotiated</td>
<td>Negotiated</td>
<td>Negotiated</td>
<td>Negotiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring fencing</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Venture</td>
<td>50% ECO-50% partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Accumulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0-60 Mb</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60-90 Mb</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90-120 MB</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120-150 Mb</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 150 Mb</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration costs refund</td>
<td>50% current prices</td>
<td>50% current prices</td>
<td>50% constant prices</td>
<td>30% constant prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development costs refund</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>According to the production share</td>
<td>According to the production share</td>
<td>According to the production share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R factor application</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Per contract</td>
<td>Per field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: Barrios (2003) and the National Hydrocarbons Association (ANH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Output distribution according to oil production (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Million barrels</th>
<th>Ecopetrol's participation</th>
<th>Associate's participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-90</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-120</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-150</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 150</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ecopetrol
Type C1 contract: R factor (1994-1997)

According to Barrios (2003), type C contracts were born with the intention of taking into account the profitability of the projects. It not only included the total production, but also prices and costs. The author says that the so called “R factor” was introduced as a way to generate a fairer distribution of the profits between Ecopetrol and the foreign company. Therefore, once again, the participation rate increased when the production surpassed 60 million barrels; however, the rate depended on the company’s revenues and costs, according to the R factor. The R factor is defined as follows:

\[ R = \frac{AR}{ID + GO + A - B} \]

Where:

- **AR**: Associate’s accumulated revenues (volume x price)
- **ID**: Accumulated investment
- **GO**: Accumulated operational costs
- **A**: Direct exploration costs financed entirely by the associate
- **B**: Accumulated costs’ refund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R factor</th>
<th>Ecopetrol’s participation</th>
<th>Associate’s participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>100-50/R</td>
<td>50/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Barrios (2003)

Under this type of contract, the costs refund had to be paid in constant prices, taking into account the international inflation rate. Since 1995, refunds included dry wells’ costs and seismic works. As Figure 5 shows, in October 1997 a change was made in the R factor for gas production.

Before 1997, the R factor was the same for both liquid and gas hydrocarbons discoveries. In October 1997, a change was introduced in the contract, in case gas was found. There were two main variations: first, the duration of the contract increased. A four year retention period was introduced after the six year exploration period ended and, the exploitation period was extended up to thirty years; therefore, the contract could last 40 years. On the other hand, a different distribution scheme was established. In this case, the R factor worked as shown in Table 4. However, according to Barrios (2003), Colombian fiscal terms for multinational oil companies were still not competitive enough, and thus, the association contract was once again modified in 1999.

Table 4. Gas production distribution according to the R factor (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R factor</th>
<th>Ecopetrol's participation</th>
<th>Associate's participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0-3.0</td>
<td>100-50/(R-1)</td>
<td>50/(R-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 3.0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Barrios (2003)

Type D contract: R factor 30%-70% (1999-2008)

The main change made in 1999 was the decrease in Ecopetrol’s take; it was lowered from 50% to 30%. In that way, the R factor applied also changed, accelerating the private investor’s cost recovery. When production was less than 60 million oil barrels, the associate’s take (after royalties) will be 70%. Table 5 shows the production distribution after the 60 million barrels limit is surpassed.

Table 5. Production distribution according to the R factor (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R factor</th>
<th>Ecopetrol's participation</th>
<th>Associate's participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5-2.5</td>
<td>100-70/(R-0.5)</td>
<td>70/(R-0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Barrios (2003)

As Figure 5 and Table 1 show, type D contracts also changed the way royalties were paid. The private investor no longer had to pay a constant 20% of royalties, but instead these depend on production. If less than 5 thousand barrels of oil are produced daily, the associate has to pay...
5%. Royalties increase in a straight line up to 125 thousand barrels, reaching 20%. Between 125 thousand daily barrels and 400 thousand, royalties remain constant at 20%. From 400 thousand to 600 thousand daily barrels, royalties increase up to the upper limit, 25%. In 2004, the contract was modified and royalties started from 8%. Figure 6 shows the way current royalties are paid.

In 2003, the government separated the regulatory and the entrepreneurial responsibilities of Ecopetrol. The former were assigned to the Agencia Nacional de Hidrocarburos (ANH), whose board is composed of the ministers of mining, finance and planning, with two more members appointed by the president. This agency is also responsible for administering the oil resources of the nation. In February 2004, the ANH announced a new type of contract in which the participation of Ecopetrol will not be mandatory in the areas available to new investors. Previously, the private investor was responsible for the whole exploration assigned areas, and Ecopetrol joined only in successful ones. Besides, Ecopetrol also pursued its own areas with modest success.

Furthermore, under the new contract, one hundred percent of the oil can be extracted by the investor, modifying the 1999-2003 scheme. Royalties and taxes will depend on the development of the successful fields. Although these measures seem to fulfill international requests, potential investors are still unsure about the guarantee that they will be able to keep the fields until depletion, or that new conditions (taxes and government participation) would not be imposed above those agreed upon. Indeed, the unstable regulation of the nineties is a revealing and troubling signal that this could happen.

**Figure 6. Royalties paid according to daily oil production, 2008**

Source: ANH
This new arrangement, called “modern concession contract”, will specify a timetable for exploration and exploitation for the private investors in every field, and will be monitored by the ANH. The agency can terminate the concession if this “best efforts” commitment is not fulfilled by the company. Still, even if potential investors are attracted, the security factor will gravitate around any exploration in Colombia, and it is likely to affect potential exploitation in the future. The government is doing its best in this front also, aiming to consolidate the firms already in the country, and to attract new ones. What about Ecopetrol? It will compete for prospects with other companies, apparently on equal standing. This led, in 2007, to the public capitalization of Ecopetrol.

In July 2007, the ANH introduced the Colombian rounds system. The Agency decides on a specific area and sells the right to explore and exploit it through an auction process. According to the ANH, the private investor is obliged to execute the Minimum Exploratory Work offered during the bidding process and has to develop the terms of the contract assuming all the costs and risks. The company owns the production rights net of royalties, which are paid according to the terms specified in type D contracts (Figure 5). In the bidding process, companies offer the ANH a participation of the production, and a high price participation, which is due if international prices exceed a pre-established level. Notice that this new scheme increases again the state take, via a bidding process.

*The effects of changes in the fiscal terms*

Figure 7 shows that in 2005, according to Arthur D. Little, Colombia became the third country in Latin America with the most appealing contractual terms, following Argentina and Brazil. Figure 8 shows the increase in the number of contracts signed since 2003. In 2007, the government’s target was to sign 30 contracts and there were actually over 50. Although the boost in contracts could be attributed to the modifications made on type D contract in 2004, it also coincides with economic boom, rising oil prices and better security conditions. However, the rise in contracts signed in 1999 could show the better terms given to private investors in 1999. Between type A, B and C contracts; there is no clear difference in the number of contracts signed.
Figure 7. Private investor’s perception on Colombian oil sector, 2005

Source: Agencia Nacional de Hidrocarburos

Figure 8. Number of exploration and exploitation contracts signed, 1970-2008 (March)

No. of contracts

Source: Agencia Nacional de Hidrocarburos
3. Macroeconomic and institutional consequences of a short lived oil boom

One of the most pressing questions about Colombia’s economic performance is: what happened in the economy during the nineties? How did Colombian economy shift from a decent economic performance, and even prosperity up to 1995, to the worst economic crisis in its history, in 1999? In fact, recent governments still confront the consequences of events from the previous decade. The most notorious of these consequences, not solved yet, is the stubborn public deficit and the accumulated debt. Why has this become a chronic problem with no permanent solution at sight? How does a country that managed to contain its fiscal deficit oscillating between -3% and 2% of GDP for ninety years, and its public debt fluctuating between 5% and 20% of GDP in the same period, lost control of these two key variables in a span of a decade? (Figure 9)

Figure 9. Central Government’s deficit 1904-2007 (% of GDP)  
Central Government’s total debt 1904-2007 (% of GDP)

In fact, Colombia’s austere management of public finances and its capacity to quickly correct mistakes had made it stand out among Latin American countries during the previous two decades. This is why the events after 1995 are so notorious. To point out the difficulty to interpret Colombia’s recent past, it is useful to start out by enumerating some of the most outstanding phenomena which could be related to the fiscal reversal of fortune illustrated in Figure 9.

- Substantial oil discoveries between 1983 and 1994 (ca. 3 billion barrels in new reserves)
- New Constitution enacted, created the constitutional court and enhanced justices’ role on granting rights to citizens, with fiscal impact; Central Bank independence; deepening
of decentralization in health and education sectors, granting sub-national governments a share on nation’s tax revenues

- Emergence of the public pension liability, hitherto hidden in the balance sheet of several decentralized social security institutions, which first became explicit during the nineties
- Cocaine export boom, with effects on the economy, society and institutions
- Internal conflict with guerrillas and paramilitaries intensified, due to their financing with kidnappings, drug trafficking and local corruption
- Economic openness in a globalization environment
- Bubble and bust of mortgage debt and housing prices.
- Health and education reform demanded large fresh resources, many of which used to increase teacher’s salaries and for excessive labor contracts and privileges in public hospitals

Although it is difficult to prioritize the list of factors presented, we will maintain that the positive oil shock, represented in the substantial increase of reserves, was the single most influential event of the past decade, partially causing the fiscal misfortunes documented. This implies that the oil boom wasn’t managed in the most appropriate way. Such handling can hardly be exclusively ascribed to a specific group of policy makers, such as mines ministers, Ecopetrol’s CEOs or the central government economic team. Although some responsibility can be attributed to them, the orientation given to the new resources was derived from political decisions made by the society at large.

In fact, Figure 9 raises the question: why would a society that for ninety years had revealed a preference for stability and for moderate fluctuations in its fiscal balances and public debt, decide to change drastically its choice? This happened at the beginning of the 90s and was reinforced along the decade.

Many observers have pointed out the 1991 Constitution as a single cause behind the shift in fiscal stance. The reason alleged is the decentralized education and health outlays mandated by it. We believe that the constitution was the vehicle, the “how” of the process. But what must be explained is the “why”. The question could be asked in the following way: why would a society who had watched carefully that the legal commitments of the public budget did not exceed systematically the tax resources, contributions and estate-owned companies’ surpluses, decide to assign to the national government budget an expenditure burden superior to its long term resources? What can justify this odd behavior, precisely in the country that had stood up in Latin America for its fiscal neutrality? Did the Colombian ruling class suddenly become irresponsible and created a constitution and legislation too generous along the 90s? These questions have not been raised, at least to our knowledge.
It must be taken into account that the consumption madness not only infected the central government, departments and municipalities, but also Colombian firms and households. The proof lies in the associated crisis in the business sector due to the acquired debts, in dollars and pesos, along the decade (Echeverry et al. 2003), and the mortgage crisis that troubled the Colombian households and destroyed the UPAC system.

The euphoric consumption behavior invaded governments, firms and households. Once again, what phenomenon induced traditionally moderate governments, firms and households to shift excessively their expenditure patterns?

It has been argued that the drug trafficking inflows or that the incidence of the guerrillas and the armed conflict can explain the events of the 90s. Naturally, part of the explanation lies on these facts, but is hard to believe that Colombian households and firms decided to spend systematically above their incomes as kidnappings, guerrilla attacks and drug trafficking increased. On the contrary, these events would normally alert a society. In the Colombian case, these events took place at the same time as the society let down their guard while it weakened institutionally and economically.

The principal cause, in our opinion, is the massive inflows from oil resources derived from the discoveries made in the 80s and at the beginning of the 90s. To these, revenues from drug trafficking and a brief international capital boom can be added.

Why, can the excessive expenditure in the 90s be attributed to a greater extent to oil than to capital inflows and drug trafficking income? The reason is that the shift towards an aggressive expenditure state policy can only be attributed to the first one. In fact, from the three events mentioned, oil had the biggest impact on public revenue. Capital inflows increased government revenue through privatizations, which were relatively small and illegal dollars’ inflows normally don’t pay taxes.

Nevertheless, capital inflows and drug trafficking dollars are partly responsible for the real peso appreciation of the 90s. There is no doubt that the strong peso played a key role in the expenditure boom of the private sector. This was the case for households and firms. To complete the picture, the real appreciation received an additional impulse from the deteriorating public finances and the government financing needs.

Summing up, the most significant shocks were the relaxation of the state budget constrain derived from oil revenues and the real peso appreciation. The transmission channels were: 1) the commitments acquired in the justice department and transfers to regions laid on the new constitution; 2) generosity towards teachers who perceived several raises between 1995 and 1998; 3) increase in government spending through the funds known as Fondos de Cofinanciación; 4) substantial increase in regional governments’ expenditures derived from the central government's transfers and access to the financial markets; 5) transmission channels in the private sector were the increase in incomes resulting from the economic boom in the first
half of the decade, the real peso appreciation, which increased household's purchasing power and a greater access to credit (mortgage and consumption).

The consequences were high state indebtedness, at all governmental levels and the private sector indebtedness, in households and firms. This high degree of financial leverage made the society vulnerable to the shocks that came later on.

The negative shocks that afflicted the Colombian economy at the end of the 90s came both from the internal and external front. In the first case, the political crisis led by the judgment of the President by the Parliament and the worsening of the violence situation in the hands of the FARC and the paramilitaries.

In the external front there were considerable shocks, represented by devaluation and increased spreads of several emerging economies. It began with Mexico in 1994 and Russia, Brazil, Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, Korea, Ecuador and Argentina followed soon after. This country-crisis led to a recessive cycle in Latin America, which reached its bottom in 1999, Colombian economy was subject to it (See Figure 10).

Figure 10. GDP cycle of Colombia and Latin America in the nineties

Source: DANE and ECLAC

Another part of the analysis deserves attention: the Central Bank has been accused of focusing too much on inflation, leaving behind their responsibility on smoothing economic and labor market cycles. In fact, the constitution gave the Bank a specific role and the bank interpreted it in a more severe way. A 1999 Constitutional Court sentence illustrated this when the monetary authority was reminded that it should pay attention to labor fluctuations as well. There’s no doubt and, has been documented, that long term, low and stable inflation is desirable. However, the over-emphasis made on controlling it had negative, short term effects. It also implied a loss
of resources for the national government. Finally, it almost meant the disappearance of a shock absorber in the economic activity, role that the Central Bank had played for several decades. In our opinion, this last consequence has been the result of an excessive interpretation from the board members on what the Central Bank’s target should be. The importance given to reducing inflation, rather than the short term smoothing of the economic business cycle, has been almost entirely a decision made by the board members, and not so much a constitutional mandate.

In order to evaluate the relative importance of different phenomenon, economists use an analytical exercise which considers “contra-factual” scenarios. This basically consists of answering questions such as: what would have happened if…? Naturally, it’s a hypothetical exercise and depends on the analyst’s point of view. But, taking into account the previous paragraphs, one could wonder what could have happened if the oil wells hadn’t been found? We believe that fluctuations in the 90s wouldn’t have been avoided, but their magnitude could have been smaller.

In the first place, real peso appreciation would have been much smaller and thus, household and firm’s expenditure boom would have been moderate. On the government side, there’s no doubt that the constitution wouldn’t have been so generous, as well as the executive’s laws and decisions along the decade. The country’s access to external credit would have been limited, making it less vulnerable.

However, the economic liberalization would have still increased the transmission of external shocks to the Colombian economy and the independence of the Central Bank would have taken away its role of shock absorber and financial agent for the government and therefore, economic fluctuations would have increased anyway. The pension problem would have appeared anyhow, but the constitutional court’s sentences on the “fourteenth wage” (mesada cartoce) and on the pensión gracia for the teachers would have probably been less generous if they had faced a famished state.

Summing up, we would not have lived in quite a different country from the one we are used to, but we could have spared the expenditure madness, bubble, implosion, recession, poverty that Colombia has experienced since 1997.

How should Colombia handle an eventual new oil boom? We believe that the only way is to pass a law, before any important discoveries are made. This is the only way a pillage policy can be avoided once a bounty treasure is at sight. The country’s fiscal situation demands a serious definition of priorities in case a discovery is made. At the same time, the exchange rate effects, which had clear consequences during the previous decade, must be taken into account. Many countries have succumbed to the Dutch disease. Finally, the opposite scenario must also be contemplated promptly.
4. The outlook for oil and its fiscal impact

Oil prospects in oil-exporting countries have gained great relevance, with oil prices at this writing reaching $125 per barrel. Even conservative forecasts point to an average annual price of $95 p/b for 2008. Alas, Colombia’s oil output, and reserves, have fallen steadily for the past decade. Total production dropped from 687,000 b/d in 2000, to 531,000 b/d in 2007, while reserves fell from 1.9 billion to 1.4 billion barrels. But the country has managed to slow the decline in both output and reserves, and in 2007, production actually rose from its 2006 level of 529,000 b/d, while reserves rose by 57.3 million barrels.

The scarcity, or moderate scenario, entails a reserve rise of about 2.3 billion barrels. It would require discovery of 1 billion barrels; another 1.2 billion barrels would be drawn from better recovery from existing wells (Figure 11). Any potential increase in production relies on the results of recent and future exploration, and a number of enhanced oil recovery (special technology) projects, as well as the Llanos Heavy Crude Project. The Rubiales project also plans to raise output, from 22,000 b/d in 2007 to 100,000 b/d by 2010, subject to the authorization of an ambitious upgrading project.

The government aims to raise reserves by 250 million barrels per year, to a target of 4 billion barrels by 2020. That’s twice as much as Colombia uses, so the country could resume substantial exports. Such a dramatic rate of reserve increase is quite ambitious, given that, over the past decade, reserves rose only 40 billion barrels per year on average.

Given current proven reserves, oil self sufficiency should last until 2014, according to a study by the consulting firm Arthur D. Little (Figure 11). Ecopetrol’s self sufficiency, though, is likely to end by 2009, according to 2007 forecasts. This implies that, starting in 2010, Ecopetrol would have to purchase oil it from its associates in order to refine it. Yet, even under pessimistic exploration forecasts, total reserves should rise to extend Colombia’s self sufficiency until 2015, and until 2019 under a moderate (scarcity) scenario.

The National Hydrocarbons Agency (ANH) calculates that investment of $2 billion per year would be required to raise reserves to four billion barrels. This level of investment should be feasible at the current pace of FDI into the sector. FDI into the oil sector soared to $3.5 billion in 2007, from less than $2 billion in 2006. And, some say, it could reach $5 billion this year.

This level of investment implies signing of 30 contracts and 60 new wells drilled per year, plus at least 10 discoveries of 20 million barrels each per year. ANH aims to sign 120 exploration and technical evaluation contracts by 2010. So far, 68 have been signed – but the number of contracts doesn’t really correlate with exploration results. The ANH aims for 160 oil wells drilled between 2006 and 2010; 95 have been drilled so far. The number of drilled wells increased from approximately 35 in 2006 to almost 75 in 2007. But only 40% of the wells drilled in 2007 yielded oil.
Five new contracts have been signed this year, three for exploration and production, and two for technical evaluation. Another 23 exploratory wells have been drilled, but only 10% were successful. This proves the sector has been very dynamic so far this year, receiving abundant capital. But we have yet to see the results from these investments, in terms of increased reserves.

Ecopetrol should play an important role in the oil sector’s development. In 2007 Ecopetrol helped drill 33 wells, 12 directly and the rest via association contracts. The success rate for these wells was 36%. Additionally, the company contributed 29.6 million barrels of new reserves. Of 531,000 b/d of production last year, Ecopetrol produced 399,000 b/d, raising its output by 14,000 b/d. Furthermore, Ecopetrol’s investment will swell substantially this year. That was one of the company’s main motives for going public: it wanted to be freed from the burden of participating in national fiscal accounts, so it could be internationally competitive. To that end, in 2008 Ecopetrol intends to invest $3.7 billion.

The oil sector has had a substantial fiscal impact in Colombia, in three ways. First and foremost, until 2007 Ecopetrol was a state company, and its fiscal results contributed to the non financial public sector balance. Since the company went public in 2007 and “10% plus one share” is in the hands of its new shareholders Ecopetrol’s fiscal result no longer contributes to the Consolidated Public Sector’s balance. However, it continues to pay dividends to the central government, its main shareholder. These represent an important share of the capital resources.
that the government receives each year. Driven by climbing oil prices, contributions are major: COP 3.3 trillion in 2007, or 0.9% of GDP, from 0.6% of GDP in 2006. This figure is expected to rise by another 0.2% of GDP this year.

**Figure 12. Scenarios for Crude Reserves (million barrels)**

![Crude reserves according to scenario](image)

Source: Agencia Nacional de Hidrocarburos

Other channels through which resources from the oil sector affect the public sector balance include tax revenue, royalties and contributions to the oil stabilization fund FAEP. In 2006, tax revenue from the oil sector came to nearly 1% of GDP, or just over 6% of total tax revenue.

Higher oil prices should also be reflected in higher royalties to the regions. But these hardly ever translate into higher fiscal balances for regional governments, as the funds are usually spent right away.

Fuel subsidies also affect fiscal results. The government continues to subsidize fuel prices. Until last year, Ecopetrol paid this subsidy directly, but this quasi-fiscal responsibility was removed, to free the company from such a close association with national fiscal accounts. The central government pays the subsidy now, though it is financed by an Ecopetrol transfer. The cost of
the subsidy is not really an expense for Ecopetrol; it simply represents the funds it didn’t earn because fuel prices are not raised concurrently with oil price increases.

Figure 13. Ecopetrol’s dividends paid to the Central Government (% of GDP)

![Graph showing Ecopetrol's dividends paid to the Central Government (% of GDP) from 1992 to 2007.](image)

Source: CONFIS and authors’ calculations

Fuel subsidies should come to COP 2.7 trillion this year, according to government estimates. This calculation assumed an average annual oil price of $90 p/b, and an exchange rate of 1,989 pesos per dollar. While we think the average annual oil price will be $95 - $100, the peso will probably also be stronger -- so the government’s estimates should basically hold.

The government initially intended to eliminate the fuel subsidy by the end of 2008. But the rapid oil price rise would imply monthly fuel price hikes of some COP 200 per gallon. That comes to a 3% monthly increase in the price of fuel, an idea unlikely to find any political support. So the government decided to keep the subsidy until December 2009. Until then, the government, and in turn Ecopetrol, expect to continue carrying the burden of soaring oil prices. For the man in the street, though, due to taxes linked to gasoline and diesel, domestic prices already are at, or even above international ones.

So the oil sector, despite shrinking substantially in recent years, is still crucial to the Colombian economy, both in terms of impact on GDP growth and for fiscal results. Oil exports soared 15.6% in 2007, to $7.3 billion, while export volume was up just 0.3%. Oil exports still represent more than half of non traditional exports, and just under a quarter of total exports.

High oil prices should increase the appeal of investing in the oil sector to increase production, at least in the short term. But changes in the long term scenario for oil production haven’t yet happened.
Let us now look at the brightest scenario. Figure 3 shows the peaks in exploration since 1918, and relates it to the new reserves found. The figure is quite revealing in the sense that dramatic increases in exploration led to substantial findings. That was the case at the beginning of the 1940s, the end of 1950s, and the beginning and end of the 1980s. Figure 15 shows that currently Colombia is exhibiting one of those exploration peaks; hence, should future resemble the past, the country should be at the verge of a huge discovery.

If that were the case, the lessons from the nineties should be learned. Macroeconomically, the huge fiscal mess at the end of the nineties owes much to the mirages of “Saudi Colombia” created at the beginning of that decade. Huge oil discoveries, that added in less than a decade 3 billion barrels to total reserves, led to over-expending, over-borrowing, loosening of budget constraints for public and private sectors, overvaluation, housing and mortgage credit bubbles, etc. Maintaining a cold head in the midst of considerable oil discoveries is something in which Colombia cannot lecture.

Colombia’s alleged potential reserves are a debatable issue, and figures vary widely according to pessimistic and optimistic perspectives. In 2002 Ecopetrol presented a scenario in which potential reserves (to be discovered) in Colombian basins was estimated in 47 BBOE. Additionally, a recent study by Arthur D. Little for the ANH estimated a “scarcity” scenario of additional reserves in 2,4 BBO for the next 20 years, and a “probable” scenario of 5,1 BBO, subject to a determined level of investment and exploration activity. In turn, a study performed by Halliburton for the ANH suggested that there are more than 10 BBO in the underground of the Llanos basin, of which 20% could be recovered.

Although none of these figures of the undiscovered potential can be taken with certainty, all of them, reached by different methodologies, show a constructive view of the expected oil potential.
in Colombia. Such potential justifies investors’ interest, and continued exploration in the Colombian basins. Basins such as the Piedemonte Llanero, or the Caribbean off shore are insufficiently explored and present attractive indicators. Mature sedimentary basins still present a series of opportunities which require the creativity of the exploration professionals. Furthermore, the potential of heavy crude in the Llanos Orientales represents an opportunity, which invites optimism.

**Figure 15. Recent drilling activity in Colombia**

Source: Ecopetrol and authors’ calculations.

In addition to Colombia’s oil reserve potential, the country offers favorable conditions for private investment, both national and foreign. This should provide a basis for the increase in exploratory activity in its different phases, and create the conditions for the country to maintain its condition as an oil exporting nation.
5. Conclusions

Colombia has a history of regulatory changes that makes potential investors still unsure about the guarantee that they will be able to keep the fields until depletion, or that new conditions (taxes and government participation) would not be imposed above those agreed upon. Indeed, the unstable regulation of the nineties is a revealing and troubling signal that this could happen.

We attribute to the short lived oil boom an important share of the disastrous macroeconomic performance of the end of the nineties. The channels of transmission were basically the relaxation of the intertemporal budget constraints of government, firms and households.

How should Colombia handle an eventual new oil boom? We believe that the only way is to pass a law, before any important discoveries are made, defining the use of increased state resources. This is the only way a pillage policy can be avoided once a bounty treasure is at sight. The country’s fiscal situation demands a serious definition of priorities in case a discovery is made. At the same time, the exchange rate effects, which had clear consequences during the previous decade, must be taken into account. Many countries have succumbed to the Dutch disease. Finally, the opposite scenario must also be contemplated promptly.

The oil sector, despite shrinking substantially in recent years, is still crucial to the Colombian economy, both in terms of impact on GDP growth and for fiscal results. Oil exports soared 15.6% in 2007, to $7.3 billion, while export volume was up just 0.3%. Oil exports still represent more than half of non traditional exports, and just under a quarter of total exports.

The oil sector has had a substantial fiscal impact in Colombia, in three ways. First and foremost, until 2007 Ecopetrol was a state company, and its fiscal results contributed to the non financial public sector balance. Since the company went public in 2007 and “10% plus one share” is in the hands of its new shareholders Ecopetrol’s fiscal result no longer contributes to the Consolidated Public Sector’s balance. However, it continues to pay dividends to the central government, its main shareholder. These represent an important share of the capital resources that the government receives each year. Driven by climbing oil prices, contributions are major: COP 3.3 trillion in 2007, or 0.9% of GDP, from 0.6% of GDP in 2006. This figure is expected to rise by another 0.2% of GDP this year.
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